REMARKS

In view of the preceding amendments and the comments which follow, and pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.111, amendment and reconsideration of the Office Action dated March 16, 2006, is respectfully requested by Applicant.

Summary

Claims 1, 3 and 4 are pending.

Claims 1 and 3 were amended. No new matter was added as a result of these amendments.

Claim 2 is cancelled.

Claim Rejections

35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph

Claims 1 – 4 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 has been amended to define "the high frequency amplifier" of lines 10 – 11. Accordingly, Claim 1 obviates the 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph rejection set forth in the previous Office Action.

35 U.S.C. 102(b)

Claim 1 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Igarashi et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,940,143; "Igarashi"). The Examiner expressed in the previous Office Action that Claims 2 – 4 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form and incorporating all base claims. Amended Claim 1 incorporates all of the limitations of Claim 2. Accordingly, Claim 1 is allowable over the cited art.

Dependent Claims 3 and 4 depend on an allowable base claim and are allowable for at least this reason.

Conclusion

For at least the reasons presented above, the Applicant respectfully submits that the pending claims are in condition for allowance.

The Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned in the event that a telephone interview would expedite consideration of the application.

Respectfully submitted,

Gustavo Siller, Jr. Registration No. 32,305 Attorney for Applicant

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60610 (312) 321-4200